



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/737,316

12/14/2000

Takafumi Sera

5307-3

9499

7590

06/23/2004

LAFF, WHITESEL, CONTE & SARET
401 North Michigan Avenue
Chicago, IL 60611

EXAMINER

HO, DUC CHI

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2665

5

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/737,316

Applicant(s)

SERA, TAKAFUMI

Examiner

Duc C Ho

Art Unit

2665

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2000.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2&4.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 1, line 6, if the limitation “for copying the data packets in the order of the sequential” refers to --- for copying the received data packets in the order of the sequential ---, it is requested the word “received” to be inserted as shown for clarity.

The same remark applies to claim 1, line 7; claim 5, lines 6-7

Regarding claim 1, lined 6-8, the limitations “for copying the data packets in the order of the sequential numbers thereof and transferring the data packets to a next packet transfer node in the order of the sequential numbers” seems to be different with the limitations the specification in page 4, lines 4-6, since the it doesn’t show --- for copying the data packets received in the past ---. The same remark applies to claim 5.

Regarding claim 5, it is requested that the word “movement” to be replaced with --- shift --- in such a way the claim language is consistent with the specification in page 4, line 17. The same remark applies to claim 6, line 10.

Regarding claim 6, it is requested that the word “with” to be inserted right after “packets” for clarity purpose.

Regarding claim 6, line 6, the step “ stored in a comparison table ” should be amended to reflect the limitation --- when the received data packets are new data packets ---. Please also correct the specification in page 4, line 24 if the reflection is proper.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2665

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-6 are objected, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections as set forth above.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wyld (US 5,737,311); Britt et al.(US 6,430,617) are cited to show data packet transfer network and data packet transfer method, which is considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Ho whose telephone number is (703) 305-1332. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 703-308-6602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2665

6. Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington. VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Patent Examiner

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Duc Ho', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Duc Ho

06-18-04